# ON SIMPSON MODULI SPACES OF STABLE SHEAVES ON $\mathbb{P}_2$ WITH LINEAR HILBERT POLYNOMIAL

## HANS GEORG FREIERMUTH

Preprint – Universität Kaiserslautern

ABSTRACT. In this short note we prove some general results on semi-stable sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_2$  and  $\mathbb{P}_3$  with arbitrary linear Hilbert polynomial. Using Beilinson's spectral sequence, we compute free resolutions for this class of semi-stable sheaves and deduce that if  $\mu$  and  $\chi$  are coprime the smooth moduli spaces  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{\mu m+(\mu-\chi)}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are birationally equivalent.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Moduli of torsionfree semi-stable sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_2$  and  $\mathbb{P}_3$  with fixed Hilbert polynomial were introduced by Maruyama and others. They have been intensively studied during the last decades. In 1994, Simpson [9] showed that the family of arbitrary semi-stable sheaves with fixed Hilbert Polynomial P on a smooth projective variety X is bounded. Using this, he proved the existence of a projective scheme  $M_P(X)$  corepresenting the moduli functor  $\mathcal{M}_P(X)(S)$  of S-flat coherent sheaves over  $X \times S$  with semi-stable fibers  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and  $P_{\mathcal{F}_s} = P$ . For dim $(X) \geq 2$ and linear Hilbert polynomial  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$ , id est if all the sheaves in  $M_P(X)$  have torsion and are supported on degree  $\mu$  curves, there is not much known about these spaces.

LePotier [7] proved that the coarse moduli spaces  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are irreducible, locally factorial projective varieties of dimension  $\mu^2 + 1$ . They are rational at least if  $\chi \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\mu}$ ,  $\chi \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{\mu}$  and for small multiplicities  $\mu \leq 4$ .

Furthermore, he described for  $\mu \leq 4$  the geometrical properties of  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and the birational map [6] to the Maruyama scheme  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}_2^{\vee}}(\mu; 0, \mu)$  of semi-stable, torsionfree rank  $\mu$  sheaves with second Chern class  $\mu$  on the dual projective plane  $\mathbb{P}_2^{\vee}$ .

We investigated in [1], [2] the geometry of  $M_{3m+1}(\mathbb{P}_3)$  which has two smooth, rational components of dimension 12 and 13 intersecting each other transversally along an 11-dimensional smooth subvariety. It is in some sense the "smallest" example for a reducible Simpson space and plays a role similar to Hilb<sub>3m+1</sub>( $\mathbb{P}_3$ ) in the case of Hilbert schemes.

Doing this, we noted as in [7] that in the planar case  $M_{3m+1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{3m+2}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are both isomorphic to the universal cubic  $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_2$ . This is not an accident and turned out to be part of a more general "symmetry" result which is the subject of this short note.

Date: 10/21/2001.

FIGURE 1. Schematic Picture. Each box corresponds to an  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ .

μ Ţ 1  $\chi$ •: The moduli space is fine. 1 : These two spaces in each row are isomorphic.  $\mathbf{2}$ 3 4 56 7 23 50 1 4 6 7 Symmetry Axis : ÷

**Theorem 1.** Let  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$ ,  $0 < \chi \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\chi$  coprime, be a linear polynomial <sup>1</sup>, and define its "dual" by  $P^{\nabla}(m) := \mu m + \mu - \chi$ . Denote by  $N \subset M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $N^{\nabla} \subset M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ respectively the closed subvarieties of isomorphism classes of sheaves with non-vanishing first cohomology. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Phi: M_P(\mathbb{P}_2) \setminus N \xrightarrow{\approx} M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2) \setminus N^{\nabla}.$$

Thus, the moduli spaces  $M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{P\nabla}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are birationally equivalent. Moreover, the spaces  $M_{\mu m+1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{\mu m+\mu-1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are isomorphic.

Finally, we can extend LePotier's result cited above in a way certainly known to him:

**Theorem 2.** If  $\mu$  and  $\chi$  are coprime, the fine Simpson moduli spaces  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are smooth projective varieties of dimension  $\mu^2 + 1$ .

The author would like to thank Günther Trautmann for useful discussions.

## 2. Preliminaries

We call the a projective scheme over an algebraically closed field k a variety. One can equip the support of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth variety X in several ways with the structure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that  $M_{\mu m+\tau}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  if  $\tau \equiv \chi \pmod{\mu}$  since the Hilbert polynomial involved is linear.

of a (not necessarily reduced) variety. One is using the annihilator ideal sheaf  $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ . We write  $Z_a(\mathcal{F}) := (\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}_X / \operatorname{Ann}(\mathcal{F}))$ . Another way is the following: Let

$$\bigoplus_{\mu=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_X(-b_{\mu}) \xrightarrow{A} \bigoplus_{\nu=1}^{s} \mathcal{O}_X(-a_{\nu}) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

be an arbitrary presentation of  $\mathcal{F}$  and denote by  $\operatorname{Fitt}_i(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  the ideal sheaf generated by the  $(s-i) \times (s-i)$ -minors of the homogeneous matrix A. Due to Fitting's lemma, the sheaf  $\operatorname{Fitt}_i(\mathcal{F})$  does not depend on the choice of the presentation. Furthermore, one has

 $\operatorname{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{Ann} \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad (\operatorname{Ann} \mathcal{F}) \operatorname{Fitt}_i(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{Fitt}_{i-1}(\mathcal{F}) \quad \forall i > 0$ 

Now define

$$Z_f(\mathcal{F}) := (\operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{O}_X / \operatorname{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{F})) \hookrightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

 $Z_a(\mathcal{F})$  is obviously a subvariety of  $Z_f(\mathcal{F})$  and  $Z_a(\mathcal{F})_{red} = Z_f(\mathcal{F})_{red} = \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{F}).$ 

Let X be a variety and S be a Noetherian (base-)scheme of finite type over k and call the projections from  $X \times_k S$  to the first and second factor by q and p respectively. If  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \in \operatorname{Coh}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{H} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X \times S)$  are coherent sheaves, we will write  $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} := q^* \mathcal{F} \otimes p^* \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(m) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S := q^* \mathcal{F}(m), \mathcal{H}_s := \mathcal{H}|_{X \times \{s\}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(m) := \mathcal{H} \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ .

A purely 1-dimensional coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  with linear Hilbert polynomial  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$  on a smooth variety X is called *semi-stable* resp. *stable* if for all proper coherent submodules  $0 \neq \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{F}')}{\mu(\mathcal{F}')} \le \frac{\chi}{\mu} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F}')}{\mu(\mathcal{F}')} < \frac{\chi}{\mu}$$

 $\mu(\mathcal{F})$  is called the *multiplicity* and  $p(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{\chi}{\mu}$  the slope of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We collect now some properties of (semi-)stable sheaves supported on curves in the projective plane or projective space in the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a semi-stable sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_n$ , n = 2, 3, with linear Hilbert polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(m) = \mu m + \chi$ ,  $0 \leq \chi < \mu$  and  $C := Z_a(\mathcal{F})$  be its support.

- 1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, or equivalently:  $\mathcal{F}$  has no zero-dimensional torsion.
- 2. If C is smooth then  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. If C is integral  $\mathcal{F}$  is still locally free on an open dense subset  $U = C \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$ .
- 3. Let n = 2. Then  $(r; c_1, c_2) = (0; \mu, \frac{\mu(\mu+3)}{2} \chi)$ . If n = 3, we have  $(r; c_1, c_2, c_3) = (0; 0, -\mu, 2\chi 4\mu)$  In both cases,  $r = rk_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\mathcal{F})$  denotes the rank and  $c_i = c_i(\mathcal{F})$  are the Chern classes w.r.t.  $\mathbb{P}_n$ .
- 4. The not necessarily reduced curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_n$  has no zero-dimensional components and no embedded points.
- 5.  $\mu = \chi(\mathcal{F}|_H)$  where  $H = Z(l) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_n}(1)|$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -regular. Thus,

$$\mu = h^0(\mathcal{F}|_H) = \sum_{p \in C \cap H} \dim_k(\mathcal{F}_p)$$

- 6.  $\mu(\mathcal{O}_{C_{red}}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{O}_{C}) \leq \mu$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_{red}}) \leq \mu$
- 7. If  $\chi > 0$  and  $(\chi, \mu) = \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\mathcal{F}$  is stable.
- 8. There are the following bounds for the cohomology and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ :
  - $\chi \leq h^0 \mathcal{F} \leq \mu 1.$
  - $0 \leq h^1 \mathcal{F} \leq \mu \chi 1.$
  - $reg(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu \chi$ , in particular  $H^1\mathcal{F}(i) = 0$  for all  $i \geq \mu \chi 1$ .

*Proof.* Cf. [1]. The only part which is not obvious is 8.: Let H be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -regular hyperplane. Then  $0 \to \mathcal{F}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}|_H \to 0$  induces an exact sequence

(1) 
$$0 \to H^0 \mathcal{F}(n-1) \to H^0 \mathcal{F}(n) \xrightarrow{f_n} k^\mu \to H^1 \mathcal{F}(n-1) \to H^1 \mathcal{F}(n) \to 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

This implies that  $n \mapsto h^1 \mathcal{F}(n)$  is decreasing and  $\chi \leq h^0 \mathcal{F} \leq h^0 \mathcal{F}(-1) + \mu$ . But Hom( $\mathcal{O}_C(1), \mathcal{F}$ ) vanishes because of the semi-stability, and thus  $\chi \leq h^0 \mathcal{F} \leq \mu$ . Now assume that  $f_n$  is surjective. The commutative diagram

implies that  $f_{n+1}$  is also a surjection. Therefore we get

$$H^{1}\mathcal{F}(n-1) \cong H^{1}\mathcal{F}(n) \cong H^{1}\mathcal{F}(n+1) \cong \cdots \cong 0$$

by Serre's theorem B. If  $f_n$  is not surjective, then we see from the sequence (1) that  $h^1 \mathcal{F}(n-1) > h^1 \mathcal{F}(n)$ . Thus, the function  $n \mapsto h^1 \mathcal{F}(n)$  is strictly decreasing until it reaches 0.

Next, we show that  $h^0 \mathcal{F} \leq \mu - 1$ . Suppose  $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mu$ . Then the injective (!) map  $f_0$  is an isomorphism and  $\mu - \chi = h^1 \mathcal{F}(-1) = 0$ . Contradiction.

Since  $h^0 \mathcal{F} < \mu$  the homomorphism  $f_0$  cannot be surjective. The situation is then the following:

$$3\mu - \chi$$
$$2\mu - \chi$$
$$\mu - \chi$$

worst case...

$$-5 \ -2-1 \qquad \mu - \chi - 1$$

This implies that  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu - \chi$ .

 $h^1 \mathcal{F}(n)$ 

## 3. The Resolutions

n

The key idea in the proof of theorem 1 is to find a common free resolution for all sheaves in an open subset of the moduli space  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and then to dualize this resolution. An appropriate tool for this are the Beilinson complexes:

Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_n$ , one has the following two complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{-n} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n} \longrightarrow 0$$
  
where

$$\mathcal{B}_p = \bigoplus_{q=0}^n H^q(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathcal{F}(p-q)) \otimes_k \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_n}^{q-p}(q-p), \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-n} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n} \longrightarrow 0$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}_p = \bigoplus_{q=0}^n H^{q+p}(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^q_{\mathbb{P}_n}(q)) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_n}(-q), \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

They are exact except at  $\mathcal{B}_0$  resp.  $\mathcal{C}_0$ , where the homology is  $\mathcal{F}$ , and can be obtained from the Beilinson I/II spectral sequences. For example the second complex comes from the sequence with  $E_1$ -term

$$E_1^{rs} := H^r(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_n}^{-s}(-s)) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_n}(s)$$

which converges to  $E_{\infty}^{i} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}, & \text{for } i=0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . More detailed:  $E_{\infty}^{rs} = 0$  for r = -s and  $\bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} E_{\infty}^{-r,r}$  is the associated graded sheaf of a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For more details on the Beilinson sequence we refer for example to [8].

Applying this technique to semi-stable sheaves in  $\mathbb{P}_2$ , we get:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a semi-stable sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_2$  with linear Hilbert polynomial  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$ ,  $0 \leq \chi < \mu$ . Furthermore, let  $a := h^0(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1))$ .

(i) There are complexes

 $0 \to (2\,\mu - \chi)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \longrightarrow H^0\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (\mu - \chi)\,\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1) \longrightarrow H^1\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \to 0$ 

and

 $0 \to a\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to H^0\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (a + \mu - 2\chi)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to H^1\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \to 0$ which are exact with exception of the homology sheaf in the middle which is isomorphic to

 $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, if  $H^1(\mathcal{F}) \cong 0$  we have free resolutions

(2) 
$$0 \to (2\mu - \chi)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \longrightarrow \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (\mu - \chi)\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

and

(3) 
$$0 \to a \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \longrightarrow \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (a + \mu - 2\chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$
  
(ii) If  $\mu(\mathcal{O}_C) < 4 - \frac{2\chi}{\mu}$  then  $h^1 \mathcal{F} = 0.$ 

Proof. In our case, all the  $\mathcal{B}_p$  resp.  $\mathcal{C}_p$  vanish if  $p \neq -2, -1, 0, 1$ . Using the facts that  $h^0 \mathcal{F}(-j) = 0$  for all j > 0 because of the semi-stability and  $\Omega^2(2) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &= H^1 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \\ \mathcal{B}_0 &= H^0 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus H^1 \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega^1(1) = H^0 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (\mu - \chi) \, \Omega^1(1) \\ \mathcal{B}_{-1} &= H^0 \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \Omega^1(1) \oplus H^1 \mathcal{F}(-2) \otimes \Omega^2(2) = (2\mu - \chi) \, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \\ \mathcal{B}_{-2} &= H^0 \mathcal{F}(-2) \otimes \Omega^2(2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= H^1 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \\ \mathcal{C}_0 &= H^0 \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus H^1 (\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \\ \mathcal{C}_{-1} &= H^0 (\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus H^1 (\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^2(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) = a \, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \\ \mathcal{C}_{-2} &= H^0 (\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^2(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the Euler sequence tensored with  $\mathcal{F}$ 

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{1}(1) \otimes \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

in order to see that  $h^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1(1)) = a + \chi(\mathcal{F}(1)) - 3\chi(\mathcal{F}) = a + \mu - 2\chi$ . To show (ii), let  $C := Z_a(\mathcal{F})$ . Then  $H^0(C, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C(-1) \otimes (\Omega^1)^{\vee}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C(2) \otimes \Omega^1, \mathcal{F})$ .  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is stable and thus *p*-stable.  $\Omega^1$  is *p*-stable, too. The stability of

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}_C(2) \otimes \Omega^1$  implies the vanishing of  $H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1(1))$  if  $p(\Omega^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2)) > p(\mathcal{F})$ . But a straightforward computation using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2) \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{O}_C(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

and  $p_a(C) = \frac{1}{2}(\deg(C) - 1)(\deg(C) - 2)$  gives  $p(\Omega^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2)) = 2 - \frac{\mu(\mathcal{O}_C)}{2}$  and consequently the result.

**Remark:** The inequality  $\mu(\mathcal{O}_C) < 4 - \frac{2\chi}{\mu}$  or  $H^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  is for example fulfilled in the following cases:

P(m)	Resolution
m	$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$
2m	$0 \to 2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to 2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$
2m + 1	$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$
3m	$0 \to 3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to 3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$
3m + 1	$0 \to 2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$
3m + 2	$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to 2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$

For these resolutions, one can verify that the space of matrices occuring in the resolutions modulo automorphisms is isomorphic to the corresponding moduli space  $M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$ . This helps getting a more explicit description of the spaces:  $M_m(\mathbb{P}_2)$  is clearly isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_2$  since  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$  for some line L. Leopold [5] showed that  $M_{2m}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong M_{2m+1}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong \mathbb{P}_5$ . In [1] or [7] one can find a proof for  $M_{3m+1}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong M_{3m+2}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}_2$  denotes the universal cubic on the projective plane. One problem occuring here is that the groups  $\operatorname{Aut}(2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1))$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)) \times \operatorname{Aut}(2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2})$  divided out are not reductive.

Now we assume for the moment  $H^1\mathcal{F} = 0$ . One would like to determine  $a = h^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1(1))$  in the theorem above in terms of the integers  $\mu$  and  $\chi$ . For this, we consider the following diagram where the second column is induced by the Koszul resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

of the twisted cotangent bundle  $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1)$ :

An application of the mapping cone lemma yields the exact sequence

(4) 
$$0 \to (2\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \xrightarrow{B} \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus 3(\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

where the blockmatrix B has the shape

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} L_1 & C \\ \hline Q & L_2 \end{array}\right).$$

 $Q \in Mat(\mu - \chi, \chi, k[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2]_2)$  is a matrix of quadratic forms,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are matrices of linear forms and  $C \in Mat(2\mu - \chi, 3\mu - 3\chi, k)$ .

This resolution is in fact not minimal. Using the semi-stability of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  we can prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.**  $rk(C) = r' := \min\{2\mu - \chi, 3\mu - 3\chi\}.$ 

*Proof.* By contradiction. Suppose  $r := \operatorname{rk}(C) < r'$ . After deleting the appropriate rows and columns of the matrix B with the Gauß algorithm, we get

$$0 \to (2\mu - \chi - r) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \xrightarrow{B'} \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (3\mu - 3\chi - r) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$$

with

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} L_1' & 0 \\ \hline Q' & L_2' \end{pmatrix}$$

where we identify the isomorphic cokernels  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\operatorname{Coker}(B')$  by abuse of notation. Thus, let us investigate the diagram



Here we write  $\mathcal{L}_1 := (2\mu - \chi - r) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_0 := \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \oplus (3\mu - 3\chi - r) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)$ and  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_2$  for the cokernels respectively kernels of  $L'_1$  and  $L'_2$ . The snake lemma implies  $\operatorname{Ker}(f) \cong \mathcal{K}_2$  and the injectivity of the map  $L'_1$ . The latter also implies forces  $2\mu - r + \chi \leq \chi$ and consequently we obtain the following bounds for r:

(5) 
$$2(\mu - \chi) \le r < \min\{2\mu - \chi, 3(\mu - \chi)\}$$

If  $\chi = 0$ , we get the contradiction. Suppose now  $0 < \chi < \mu$ . After taking  $\Lambda^{2\mu-\chi-r}(\bullet)$  of the map  $L'_1$  in the first column and after dualizing and twisting, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \xrightarrow{!} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ 2\mu - \chi - r \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(r + \chi - 2\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_f(\mathcal{C}_1)} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $Z_f(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \mathbb{P}_2$  denotes the Fitting support of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Thus

$$P_{Z_f(\mathcal{C}_1)}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\chi}{2\mu - \chi - r} \right) \right] m^2 + \cdots$$

This forces the binomial coefficient  $\binom{\chi}{2\mu-\chi-r}$  to be 0 or 1. Using the inequalities in (5), we deduce that  $r = 2(\mu - \chi)$ . The diagram above simplifies now to



Since  $Z_a(\mathcal{C}_2) \subset Z_a(\mathcal{F})$  is zero- or one-dimensional, it follows from

$$1 = \exp.\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}_2} Z_f(\mathcal{C}_2) \ge \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}_2} Z_f(\mathcal{C}_2) = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}_2} Z_a(\mathcal{C}_2) \ge 1$$

that  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is supported on a curve and that the morphism  $L'_2$  is regular. Therefore the kernel sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_2$  vanishes. An easy computation shows that the subsheaf  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$  has Hilbert polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{C}_1}(m) = \chi m + \chi$ . Thus we have found a 1-dimensional subsheaf of the semi-stable sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ with

$$1 = \frac{\chi}{\chi} = \frac{\chi(\mathcal{C}_1)}{\mu(\mathcal{C}_1)} \le \frac{\chi}{\mu} < 1.$$

Contradiction. Thus,  $r = \operatorname{rk}(C) = \min\{2\mu - \chi, 3\mu - 3\chi\}.$ 

**Corollary 1.** Let  $[\mathcal{F}] \in M_{\mu m + \chi}(\mathbb{P}_2), 0 \leq \chi < \mu$  with  $H^1\mathcal{F} = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has one of the following two minimal free resolutions:

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \xrightarrow{(Q \mid L_{2})} \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \oplus (\mu - 2\chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  
if  $\chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$ .  
$$(7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (2\chi - \mu) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \oplus (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\binom{L_{1}}{Q}} \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$
  
if  $\chi \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$ .  
Furthermore,

$$a = h^0(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1)) = \begin{cases} 0 & , & \chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \\ 2\chi - \mu & , & \chi > \frac{\mu}{2} \end{cases}$$

10

Proof. Consider the blockmatrix  $B = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & C \\ Q & L_2 \end{pmatrix}$  in the exact sequence (4). Lemma 1 says that  $\operatorname{rk}(C) = \min\{2\mu - \chi, 3\mu - 3\chi\}$ . Therefore, the resolution (6) can be obtained by deleting the last  $3\mu - 3\chi$  columns of B if  $\operatorname{rk}(C) = 3\mu - 3\chi$ . Similarly, one gets (7) by killing the first  $2\mu - \chi$  rows of B with Gauß' algorithm in case of  $\operatorname{rk}(C) = 2\mu - \chi$ . Comparing (6) and (7) with the resolution (3) in theorem 4.(i), we also obtain the value for  $a = h^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1))$ .

**Remark:** In the case  $\chi = \mu - 1$  one has  $H^1 \mathcal{F} = 0$  for all  $[\mathcal{F}] \in M_{\mu m + \mu - 1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  since  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$  according to theorem 3.(8). The resolution is therefore in this case:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus (\mu - 2) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \xrightarrow[A]{} (\mu - 1) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

M. Maican used this free resolution in order to prove that the moduli spaces  $M_{\mu m+\mu-1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  can be described as geometric quotients of maps A by the non-reductive group

$$G := \operatorname{Aut}((\mu - 2) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1)) \times \operatorname{Aut}((\mu - 1) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2})$$

using a suitable polarization.

We also need a "relative version" of corollary 1 for families. As in the absolute case, there exists for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_n \times S)$  a Beilinson-type spectral sequence with  $E_1$ -term

$$E_1^{rs} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(r) \boxtimes R^s p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{-s}_{\mathbb{P}_n \times S/S}(-s))$$

which converges to  $E_{\infty}^{i} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}, & \text{for } i=0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , i.e.  $E_{\infty}^{rs} = 0$  for  $r + s \neq 0$  and  $\bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} E_{\infty}^{-r,r}$  is the associated graded sheaf of a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. [8], p.306). Again, the spectral sequence gives rise to a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-n} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n} \longrightarrow 0$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}_p = \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_n}(-q) \boxtimes R^{q+p} p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^q_{\mathbb{P}_n \times S/S}(q))$$

which is exact everywhere with exception of  $\mathcal{C}_0$ , where the homology is  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_2 \times S)$  be a family of semi-stable sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_s$  with Hilbert polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{F}_s}(m) = \mu m + \chi$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Using the base change theorem and exactly the same arguments as in the proof of theorem 4,(i), we obtain a non-minimal (!) exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \left[ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \boxtimes p_{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)) \right] \oplus \left[ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \boxtimes R^{1} p_{*} \mathcal{F}(-1) \right] \xrightarrow{B_{s}} \\ \xrightarrow{B_{s}} \left[ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \boxtimes p_{*} \mathcal{F} \right] \oplus \left[ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \boxtimes R^{1} p_{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)) \right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

*Proof.* To give a flavour of how to proceed, we show for example why  $p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(2)) = 0$ (and consequently  $\mathcal{C}_{-2} = 0$ ):

Since all the sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_s$  are supported on curves one has  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s(-1)) = 0$ . The base change theorem implies that  $R^1p_*\mathcal{F}(-1)(s) \xrightarrow{\approx} H^1(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s(-1))$  for all  $s \in S$ . Therefore  $R^1p_*\mathcal{F}(-1)$  is locally free. Another application of the base change theorem yields  $p_*\mathcal{F}(-1)(s) \cong$  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s(-1))$ . But then

$$0 = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}, \mathcal{F}_s(-1)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s(-1)) \quad \forall \ s \in S,$$

due to the semi-stability of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ . Thus,  $p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(2)) \cong p_*\mathcal{F}(-1) = 0$ .

By looking at the rank of the constant block in the family of matrices  $(B_s)_{s\in S}$  as we did it for the absolute case in lemma 1, we can simplify the resolution and obtain the analogon to corollary 1:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $[\mathcal{F}] \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu m + \chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)(S)$ ,  $0 \le \chi < \mu$  with  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_2, \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has one of the following two minimal free resolutions:

(8)  

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \boxtimes R^{1}p_{*}\mathcal{F}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \boxtimes p_{*}\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \boxtimes R^{1}p_{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2} \times S/S}(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \to 0,$$
if  $\chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$ .  
(9)  

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \boxtimes p_{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2} \times S/S}(1)) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \boxtimes R^{1}p_{*}\mathcal{F}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \boxtimes p_{*}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \to 0,$$
if  $\chi \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$ .  
Moreover,

• 
$$p_*\mathcal{F}$$
 and  $R^1p_*\mathcal{F}(-1)$  are locally free of rank  $\chi$  and  $\mu - \chi$  respectively.

• 
$$p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1))$$
 and  $R^1 p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1))$  are locally free.  
- If  $\chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$  then  $p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1)) = 0$  and  $rk \left[ R^1 p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1)) \right] = \mu - 2\chi$ .  
- If  $\chi > \frac{\mu}{2}$  then  $rk \left[ p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1)) \right] = 2\chi - \mu$  and  $R^1 p_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Left to the reader.

## 4. Dual Sheaves

We define for a (semi-)stable sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_2$  with linear Hilbert polynomial  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$  its dual sheaf by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\nabla} := \mathcal{E}xt^{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}_{2}})(1)$$

 $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}_2}) = 0$  since  $\mathcal{F}$  is pure with one-dimensional support. Thus, dualizing the minimal free resolution (6) or (7) of  $\mathcal{F}$  from the corollary above and twisting by  $\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2)$ 

implies that  $\mathcal{F}^{\nabla}$  is (semi-)stable with Hilbert-polynomial  $P^{\nabla}(m) := \mu m + (\mu - \chi)$ . For example, if  $\chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$  we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus (\mu - 2\chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \longrightarrow (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\nabla} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

by this procedure.

Moreover, one can verify immediately that:

• 
$$\mathcal{F}^{\nabla \nabla} \cong \mathcal{F}$$
  
•  $H^1 \mathcal{F} = 0 \iff H^1 \mathcal{F}^{\nabla} =$ 

Thus, we get our main result:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $P(m) = \mu m + \chi$  be a linear polynomial with  $0 \leq \chi < \mu$  and  $(\mu, \chi) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $N \subset M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  respectively  $N^{\nabla} \subset M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  the closed subvarieties of isomorphism classes of sheaves with non-vanishing first cohomology. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\phi : M_P(\mathbb{P}_2) \setminus N \xrightarrow{\approx} M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2) \setminus N^{\nabla}, \quad [\mathcal{F}] \mapsto [\mathcal{F}^{\nabla}]$$

Thus, the moduli spaces  $M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  are birationally equivalent.

0

Proof. Clearly, the remarks above show that  $\phi$  is set-theoretically a bijection. In order to show that  $\phi$  is actually a morphism, note that  $M := M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  is a fine moduli space with universal family  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_P(\mathbb{P}_2)(M)$  since  $\mu$  and  $\chi$  are coprime. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\chi \leq \frac{\mu}{2}$ . Now consider the minimal free resolution (8) of  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{U}|_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times M \setminus N}$  from theorem 5:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \boxtimes R^1 p_* \mathcal{C}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \boxtimes p_* \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \boxtimes R^1 p_* (\mathcal{C} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

An application of  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times M \setminus N}}(\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{M \setminus N})$  yields:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \boxtimes [p_{*}\mathcal{C}]^{*} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-1) \boxtimes [R^{1}p_{*}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2} \times S/S}(1))]^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}} \boxtimes [R^{1}p_{*}\mathcal{C}(-1)]^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \to 0,$$
  
where  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}xt^{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2} \times M \setminus N}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{M \setminus N}).$ 

According to theorem 5, the bundles  $[p_* \mathcal{C}]^*$ ,  $[R^1 p_* (\mathcal{C} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2 \times S/S}(1))]^*$  and  $[R^1 p_* \mathcal{C}(-1)]^*$  have rank  $\chi, \mu - 2\chi$  and  $\mu - \chi$  respectively. Thus, the restriction of the resolution to a fiber  $\mathcal{G}_{[\mathcal{F}]}$  is

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-2) \oplus (\mu - 2\chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(-1) \longrightarrow (\mu - \chi) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{[\mathcal{F}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

which is exactly the resolution of  $\mathcal{F}^{\nabla}$  obtained above. Therefore  $\mathcal{G}_{[\mathcal{F}]} \cong \mathcal{F}^{\nabla}$ . Obviously, the sheaves  $\mathcal{G}_{[\mathcal{F}]}$  are stable with Hilbert polynomial  $P^{\nabla}(m) = \mu m + (\mu - \chi)$  and  $H^1\mathcal{G}_{[\mathcal{F}]} = 0$  for all  $[\mathcal{F}] \in M \setminus N$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{M}_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2)(M \setminus N)$ . Per construction, the **morphism** 

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}: M \setminus N \longrightarrow M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2)$$

induced by the family  $\mathcal{G}$  maps to  $M_{P^{\nabla}}(\mathbb{P}_2) \setminus N^{\nabla}$  and is indeed equal to the set-theoretical map  $\phi$ . Similarly, one proves that  $\phi^{-1}$  is a morphism.

### 5. Smoothness

In this section we want to reprove LePotier's result that  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  for coprime coefficients and show that the irreducible moduli space [7] is then indeed smooth.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $P(m) := \mu m + \chi$  with  $(\mu, \chi) = (1)$ . Then

- 1.  $M := M_P(\mathbb{P}_2)$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\mu^2 + 1$ .
- 2. The moduli space M is fine with universal family  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_P(\mathbb{P}_2)(M)$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $0 \le \chi < \mu$ . By theorem 3.(7), we have that all semi-stable sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  with polynomial P are stable.

1. Serre duality gives  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}_2})^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}(-3))^{\vee} = 0$  for every  $[\mathcal{F}] \in M$ . The last equality is due to the stability of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Id est, there are no obstructions and M is smooth in neighbourhood of  $[\mathcal{F}]$ . Consequently, M is a smooth projective variety. We are left to compute dim M. Every sheaf in the open, dense subset  $M \setminus N = \{ [\mathcal{F}] \in M_P(\mathbb{P}_2) : H^1\mathcal{F} = 0 \}$  has a resolution (2). If we apply  $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{F})$  to that sequence, we end up with

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \chi H^0 \mathcal{F} \oplus (\mu - \chi) \operatorname{Hom}(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1), \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow (2\mu - \chi) H^0 \mathcal{F}(1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \chi H^1 \mathcal{F} \oplus (\mu - \chi) \operatorname{Ext}^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1), \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow (2\mu - \chi) H^1 \mathcal{F}(1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

The stable sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is simple and therefore  $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}) \cong k$ . We also have  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(1), \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{0}(\mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes (\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}})^{\vee}) \cong H^{0}(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}})$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}(1), \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{1}(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}})$ . Using the Euler sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}_2} \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{F}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(2) \to 0,$$

we get 
$$\chi(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{2}}) = 3\chi(\mathcal{F}(1)) - \chi(\mathcal{F}(2)) = \mu + 2\chi$$
. But then:  
ext<sup>1</sup>( $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}$ ) =  $1 - \chi h^{0}\mathcal{F} - (\mu - \chi) h^{0}(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}) + (2\mu - \chi) h^{0}\mathcal{F}(1) + \chi h^{1}\mathcal{F} + (\mu - \chi) h^{1}(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}) - (2\mu - \chi) h^{1}\mathcal{F}(1)$   
=  $1 - \chi^{2} - (\mu - \chi)\chi(\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes \Omega^{1}) + (2\mu - \chi)\chi(\mathcal{F}(1))$   
=  $1 - \chi^{2} - (\mu - \chi)(\mu + 2\chi) + (2\mu - \chi)(\mu + \chi)$   
=  $\mu^{2} + 1$ .

Thus dim  $M = \mu^2 + 1$  because dim<sub>k</sub>  $T_{[\mathcal{F}]}M = \mu^2 + 1$  for all  $[\mathcal{F}] \in M \setminus N$ .

2. The existence and construction of the universal family in this case is standard and can be found for example in [3].

**Remark 1:** Let again  $\chi = \mu - 1$ ,  $\mu > 1$ . In this case we have  $N = \emptyset$ . Thus, there is an isomorphism between the smooth,  $(\mu^2 + 1)$ -dimensional, fine moduli spaces  $M_{\mu m+1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  and  $M_{\mu m+\mu-1}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ .

**Remark 2:** [7]. If  $\mu$  and  $\chi$  are not coprime and  $\mu \geq 2$  then the complement of the open subset of stable sheaves in  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  has codimension at least  $2\mu - 3$ , and no matter what open set U in  $M_{\mu m+\chi}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  one chooses, there does not exist a universal sheaf over  $\mathbb{P}_2 \times U$ .

## References

- [1] Freiermuth, H.-G.: On the Moduli Space  $M_P(\mathbb{P}_3)$  of Semi-stable Sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_3$  with Hilbert Polynomial P(m) = 3m + 1. Diplomarbeit, Universität Kaiserslautern, (2000).
- [2] Freiermuth, H.-G., Trautmann, G.: On the Moduli Scheme of Stable Sheaves Supported on Cubic Space Curves. In preparation (2001).
- [3] Huybrechts, D. and Lehn, M.: The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Aspects of Math., Vol. E 31, Vieweg (1997).
- [4] Kleiman, S.: Les theorems de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard SGA 6, ex. XIII, Springer LNM 225 (1966/67).
- [5] Leopold, P.: Die Modulräume von semistabilen Garben der Multiplizität 2 auf Kurven der Projektiven Ebene. Diplomarbeit, Universität Kaiserslautern (1998).
- [6] Maruyama, M.: Vector bundles and torsionfree sheaves. In: International Colloquium on Vector Bundles on Algebraic Varieties (1984), Oxford Univ. Press (1987), 275-339.
- [7] Le Potier, J.: Faisceaux semi-stables de dimension 1 sur le plan projectif. Rev. Roumaine math. pures appl., 38 (1993), 7-8, 635-678.
- [8] Okonek, C., Schneider, M. and Spindler, H.: Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Progress in Math. 3, Birkhäuser (1980).
- [9] Simpson, C.T.: Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 79 (1994), 47-129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

*E-mail address*: freiermuth@math.columbia.edu